

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,056 12/10/2003		12/10/2003	Masaki Kondo	IKW-004	8683	
959	7590	01/05/2006		EXAMINER		
	& COCK	FIELD, LLP.	CHOI, STEPHEN			
BOSTON, MA 02109				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3724		
				DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Sp	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,056	KONDO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Choi	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. pply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final. This action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,8 and 10-22 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject	s/are withdrawn from considera	tion.	
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 December 200 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific spe	3 is/are: a) 2 accepted or b) 2 to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/03,5/5/04. 	-/	e)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/734,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 26 October 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that that a single search of pertinent prior art would appear to suffice for all aspects of the invention since the various Groups appear to be so interrelated that a single examination would not appear to place a serious burden on the examiner. The issue at hand is whether the inventions represented by the groups of claims are distinct and whether there is burden on the examiner if the restriction was not required. As set forth in the previous office action, the inventions are deemed distinct and there would be burden on the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the first sentence refers to purported merits of the invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-2 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: Although the claim is understandable, it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase "can be" are part of the invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/734,056

Art Unit: 3724

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 01/78929 (hereafter '929).

'929 discloses all the recited elements of the invention including a body having a blade (e.g. 26), a base (e.g. 28), a sub-base and a parallel ruler (e.g. 192 a-b).

Regarding claim 2, e.g., 196. Regarding claim 9, applicant should note that the limitations "sub-base means" and "a parallel ruler means" are not in compliance with the Supplemental Guidelines published in the Official Gazette on July 25, 2000. Such limitations cannot be used to invoke 35 USC 112, 6th paragraph, and have therefore been given their broadest reasonable interpretation, without considering equivalence.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schmidt, Hopla, Davis, Cleveland, and Kani are cited to show related devices.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,056 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sc

3 January 2006

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER